## THE SCHWARZIAN DERIVATIVE

## KEATON QUINN

If we identify  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  with the Riemann sphere, then in a coordinate z, the action of  $SL_2\mathbb{C}$  on  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot z = \frac{az+b}{cz+d}.$$

But notice that  $M \cdot z = (-M) \cdot z$ . So to get a faithful action we quotient by the normal subgroup  $\{\pm Id\}$ , obtaining the group  $\mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C} = \mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{C}/\{\pm Id\}$ .

**Lemma.** Suppose  $\Omega$  is an open connected subset of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{CP}^1$  is a locally injective holomorphic function. Given  $z \in \Omega$ , there exists a unique Möbius transformation  $M_f(z) \in \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  that agrees with f at z to 2nd order, i.e.,

$$f(w) = M_f(z) \cdot w + o(w-z)^2$$

Another way to say this is that for fixed  $z \in \Omega$ ,

$$M_f(z) \cdot z = f(z),$$

$$\frac{d}{dw} \left( M_f(z) \cdot w \right) \Big|_{w=z} = f'(z),$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dw^2} \left( M_f(z) \cdot w \right) \Big|_{w=z} = f''(z).$$

The assignment  $z \mapsto M_f(z)$  defines a map  $M_f : \Omega \to \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  that is called the Osculating Möbius Transformation of f. If neither z nor f(z) is infinity, then the osculating Möbius transformation is given by

$$M_f(z) \cdot w = \frac{(f'(z)^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(z)f''(z))(w-z) + f(z)f'(z)}{-\frac{1}{2}f''(z)(w-z) + f'(z)}$$

so that

$$M_f(z) = \frac{1}{f'(z)^{3/2}} \begin{pmatrix} f'(z)^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(z)f''(z) & -(f'(z)^2 - \frac{1}{2}f(z)f''(z))z + f(z)f'(z) \\ -\frac{1}{2}f''(z) & f'(z) + \frac{1}{2}zf''(z) \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the ambiguity of the  $f'(z)^{3/2}$  is taken care of by the quotient to  $PSL_2\mathbb{C}$ .

If f is already a Möbius transformation then  $M_f(z) = f$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . Indeed,  $M_f: \Omega \to \mathrm{PSL}_2\mathbb{C}$  is constant if and only if f is a Möbius transformation. Therefore, the derivative of the osculating Möbius transformation should give some measure of how far the function f is from being a Möbius transformation.

The differential  $dM_f : T\Omega \to TPSL_2\mathbb{C}$  takes values in the tangent bundle of  $PSL_2\mathbb{C}$ . The tangent bundle of a Lie group is canonically trivialized by left translation:

$$TPSL_2\mathbb{C} \simeq PSL_2\mathbb{C} \times \text{Lie}(PSL_2\mathbb{C}) = PSL_2\mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$$
$$(M, v) \mapsto (M, d(L_{M^{-1}})_M(v)) = (M, M^{-1}v).$$

Date: Last Revised: December 9, 2019.

## KEATON QUINN

So composing  $dM_f$  with the projection to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$  we can consider the Darboux derivative of f: a 1-form on  $\Omega$  with values in  $\mathfrak{sl}_2\mathbb{C}$ . See [Sha97, Chapter 3] for a discussion of Darboux derivatives. An explicit computation gives

$$M_f(z)^{-1}d(M_f)_z = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 \right) \begin{pmatrix} -z & z^2 \\ -1 & z \end{pmatrix} dz.$$

So we see that Darboux derivative is zero precisely when the quantity

$$S(f)(z) = \left( \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 \right) = 0,$$

and so f is a Möbius transformation precisely when S(f) = 0. We call S(f) the Schwarzian derivative of f.

The Schwarzian derivative has an interesting chain rule: if f and g are locally injective and holomorphic then a computation shows

$$S(f \circ g) = (S(f) \circ g)(g')^2 + S(g).$$

This suggests that the Schwarzian is more naturally a quadratic differential; that we should redefine

$$S(f)(z) = \left( \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 \right) dz^2$$

so that the chain rule can be written more cleanly as

$$S(f \circ g) = g^* S(f) + S(g).$$

However, this new definition is not well behaved under a change of coordinates. Say we have a Riemann surface X and a holomorphic map  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$ . We could try to define the Schwarzian of f in charts and pull it back to X. That is, suppose  $z: U \to \mathbb{C}$  is a coordinate chart, we could try to define S(f) on U by  $z^*S(f \circ z^{-1})$ . To check if this can be globally defined, take another chart w overlapping with z. Then we have

$$\begin{split} z^*S(f \circ z^{-1}) &= z^*S(f \circ w^{-1} \circ (w \circ z^{-1})) \\ &= z^*((w \circ z^{-1})^*S(f \circ w^{-1}) + S(w \circ z^{-1})) \\ &= w^*S(f \circ w^{-1}) + z^*S(w \circ z^{-1}). \end{split}$$

So we see we can only patch together the Schwarzian if  $S(w \circ z^{-1}) = 0$  for all holomorphic charts on X. That is, only when all the transition functions are Möbius transformations. This leads us to the definition of a Complex Projective Structure.

**Definition.** Let S be a smooth surface. A complex projective structure Z on S is an atlas of charts to  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  such that all the transition functions are (the restrictions of) Möbius transformations. We refer to S with Z as a complex projective surface.

Notice that since Möbius transformations are holomorphic, a complex projective structure Z induces a complex structure X on S. Like in the smooth manifolds case, we call a function between two complex projective surfaces  $f: Z \to W$  projective if it is (the restriction of) Möbius transformations in all projective charts of Z and W. Again, since Möbius transformations are holomorphic, projective maps between

complex projective surfaces are holomorphic with respect to the underlying complex structures.

Suppose  $f: Z \to W$ . We can define the Schwarzian derivative of f as a quadratic differential on Z. If f is locally injective, then  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for any z and so the Schwarzian of f is holomorphic with respect to Z's underlying complex structure. If f is not locally injective, then we have f'(z) = 0 somewhere, in which case the Schwarzian is a meromorphic quadratic differential. Now, to define the Schwarzian, take projective charts z for Z and w for W and locally define it by  $z^*S(w \circ f \circ z^{-1})$ . Like before, one can check that these local tensors patch together to give a global object on Z. We also still have that f is projective if and only if S(f) = 0.

Now let X be a Riemann surface and let  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  be the set of all complex projective structures that have underlying complex structure X (up to isotopy). We can use the Schwarzian derivative to measure the 'difference between  $Z, W \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ . To do this, note that the identity is a map  $Id : Z \to W$  and define

$$Z - W = S(Id) \in Q(X).$$

This is actually a good measure of the difference because in charts we have  $S(Id) = z^*S(w \circ z^{-1})$ . So S(Id) is measuring the projective compatibility between the projective atlas for Z and the projective atlas for W. If we fix a basepoint  $Z_0 \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ , we can define an isomorphism  $\mathcal{P}(X) \to Q(X)$  by sending  $Z \mapsto Z - Z_0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  is an affine space modeled on the vector space Q(X).

The viewpoint taken here is due to Thurston (see [Thu86]). See also [And98] and [Dum09] for further discussion.

## References

- [And98] Charles Gregory Anderson, Projective structures on Riemann surfaces and developing maps to H<sup>3</sup> and CP<sup>n</sup>, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1998, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, Berkeley.
- [Dum09] David Dumas, Complex projective structures, Handbook of Teichmüller theory. Vol. II, IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys., vol. 13, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2009, pp. 455–508.
- [Sha97] R. W. Sharpe, Differential geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 166, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997, Cartan's generalization of Klein's Erlangen program, With a foreword by S. S. Chern.
- [Thu86] William P. Thurston, Zippers and univalent functions, The Bieberbach conjecture (West Lafayette, Ind., 1985), Math. Surveys Monogr., vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 185–197.